



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	O. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,581		10/22/2001	Kaupo Palo	P63544US1	4706
136	7590	05/28/2003	•		
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC				EXAMINER	
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600		•	GABOR, OTILIA		
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20004		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2878	
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. 5	09/869,581	PALO, KAUPO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Otilia Gabor	2878
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shet with the	correspondenc addr ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22		•
,	his action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the pract	vance except for formal matters, p r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the ments is 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	in .	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	· ·	. (
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ann nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	• • •
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	. *
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2001</u> is/are		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	3.,
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicat	tion No
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment(s)	" .	(DTO 440) On 17 No ()
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

→ j⁴

Application/Control Number: 09/869,581

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 10A,B and 10C,D are not correctly assigned, i.e., each Figure needs to be independently referenced; also Figures 14, 15 and 18 should be referenced as Figures 14A, 14B, 15A, 15B, 18A and 18B because they each contain two separate figures. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no clear understanding as to what the limitation in the claim is. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,581

Art Unit: 2878

4. Claims 1-15, 17, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-17 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6376843. This is a double patenting rejection.

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA. 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 16, 18, 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6376843. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 16 after rearrangement of the parameters recites the same empirical relationship between the volume V and the brightness x as claimed in claim 15 of Patent 6376843 but with different constants, and because claims 18 and 19 recite only the field of use of the disclosed system and method and since it is obvious to use fluorescence monitoring in the field of fluorescence assay and diagnostics, it would have been obvious to recite routine aspects of monitoring in those fields.

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Kask (U. S. Patent 6515289), Ishikawa (U. S. Patents 5528046, 5739040), Hanninen et al. (U. S. Patent 6361956), Stern et al. (U. S. Patent 6141096), Fay et al. (U. S. patent 5149972).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 703-305-0384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 2878

og May 21, 2003